

PERCHLOROETHYLENE DRY CLEANERS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) 🔀 ARMS COMPLAINT NO:	
AIRS ID#: 1030341 DATE: <u>11/13/2007</u> FACILITY NAME: SCOTT'S CUSTOM CLEANERS	ARRIVE: <u>11:00AM</u> DEPART: <u>12:27PM</u>	
FACILITY LOCATION: 755 N Indian Rocks Rd BELLEAIR BLUFFS 3	3770 2010	
RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL: MICHAEL BASSOUS	PHONE: (727)585-4515	
CONTACT NAME: Michael Bassous	PHONE:	
REMITTANCE YEAR: 2006 ENTITLI	EMENT PERIOD: 12/25/2004 / 12/25/2009 (effective date) (end date)	
IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE		
PART II: FACILITY CLASSIFICATION - Rule 62-2	13.300 FAC	
 (check downly one box in A) A. 1. Existing small area source dry-to-dry only, x < 140 gal/yr transfer only, x < 200 gal/yr both types, x < 140 gal/yr (constructed before 12/9/91) 3. Existing large area source dry-to-dry only, 140 ≤ x ≤ 2,100 gal/yr transfer only, 200 ≤ x ≤ 1,800 gal/yr both types, 140 ≤ x ≤ 1,800 gal/yr both types, 140 ≤ x ≤ 1,800 gal/yr (constructed before 12/9/91) 5. Ineligible for General Permit drop store/out of business/petroleum facility exceeds above limits 	2. New small area source dry-to-dry only, $x < 140$ gal/yr transfer only, $x < 200$ gal/yr both types, $x < 140$ gal/yr (constructed on or after 12/9/91) 4. New large area source dry-to-dry only, $140 \le x \le 2,100$ gal/yr transfer only, $200 \le x \le 1,800$ gal/yr both types, $140 \le x \le 1,800$ gal/yr (constructed on or after 12/9/91)	
B . The total quantity of perchloroethylene (perc) purchased within the preceding 12 months by this dry cleaning facility was 79 gallons.		

PART III: <u>GENERAL CONTROL REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-213.300 FAC	(check 🗹 only one box
Does the responsible official of the dry cleaning facility:	for each question)
1. Store perc, and wastes containing perc, in tightly sealed & impervious containers?	Yes No N/A
2. Examine the containers for leakage?	Yes No N/A
3. Close and secure machine doors except during loading/unloading?	Yes No
4. Drain cartridge filters in their housing or in sealed containers for at least 24 hours prior to disposal?	Yes No N/A
5. Maintain solvent-to-carbon ratios and steam pressure for carbon adsorber beds according to the manufacturer's specifications?	∐Yes □ No ⊠ N/A

PART IV: <u>PROCESS VENT CONTROLS</u> – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (Refer to Part II-A.14. Classification: page <u>1</u> of <u>4</u> , this form)				
	1. If the facility classification is a Existing small area source, no controls are required. Proceed to Part V.			
	2. If the facility classification is a <u>New small area source</u> , the machine should be equipped with a refrigerated condenser. Complete section A. below.			
	3. If the facility classification is a Existing large area source , the machine should be equipped with either a refrigerated condenser or a carbon adsorber. Complete both sections A and B below. <i>Carbon adsorber must have been installed prior to September 22, 1993</i>			
	4. If the facility classification is a <u>New large area source</u> , the machine should be equip condenser. Complete both sections A and B below.	uipped v	vith a ref	rigerated
А.	Has the responsible official of all <u>existing large area & new sources</u> :		☑ only each ques	one box for stion)
1.	Equipped all machines with the appropriate vent controls?	⊠Yes	No	
2.	Equipped dry-to-dry machines with a closed-loop vapor venting system?	⊠Yes	No	□N/A
3.	Equipped the condenser with a diverter valve so airflow will be directed away from the condenser upon opening the door?	Yes	No	⊠N/A
4.	Measured and recorded the temperature of the outlet exhaust stream of a refrigerated condenser on a weekly basis?	⊠Yes	No	
5.	Repaired or adjusted the equipment within 24 hours if the exhaust temperature of the condenser exceeded 45° F?	⊠Yes	No	□N/A
6.	Conducted all temperature monitoring after an appropriate cool-down period and after verifying that the coolant had been completely charged?	⊠Yes	No	

PA	PART IV: <u>PROCESS VENT CONTROLS</u> – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (continued)				
B.	Does the responsible official of an existing large or new large area source also:	(check 🗹 o each	only one b question)		
1.	Measure and record the exhaust temperature on the outlet side of the condenser located on dry-to-dry, reclaimer, and dryer machines on a weekly basis?	⊠Yes	No		
2.	Measure and record the washer exhaust temperature at the condenser inlet and outlet weekly?	🗌 Yes		⊠N/A ⊠ N/A	
3.	Measure and record the perc concentration in the exhaust stream weekly at the end of the final drying cycle while the machine is venting to the adsorber, if machines are equipped exclusively with a carbon adsorber?	Yes	🗌 No	N/A	
	a) Is the perc concentration equal to, or less than 100 ppm?	Yes	🗌 No	N/A	
4.	Assure that the sampling port on the carbon adsorber exhaust for measuring perc concentrations is at least 8 duct diameters downstream of any bend, contraction, or expansion; is at least 2 duct diameters upstream from any bend, contraction, or expansion; and downstream from no other inlet?	Yes	🗌 No	🖂 N/A	
5.	Equip transfer machines (dryers, reclaimers, and washers) with individual condenser coils?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No	N/A	
6.	Route airflow to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?	Yes	🗌 No	N/A	

PART V: <u>RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC				
Does the responsible official:	(check ☑ only one box for each question)			
1. Maintain receipts for perc purchased?	- 🛛 Yes 🗌 No			
2. Maintain rolling monthly total of yearly perc consumption?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No			
3. Maintain leak detection inspection and repair reports for the following:				
a) documentation of leaks repaired w/in 24 hrs? or;	- Yes No N/A			
b) documentation of parts ordered to repair leak and leak repaired w/in 2 days and parts installed w/in 5 days of receipt?	Yes No N/A			
4. Maintain calibration data? (for applicable direct reading instruments)	Yes No N/A			
5. Maintain exhaust duct monitoring data on perc concentrations?	☐ Yes ☐ No ⊠ N/A			
6. Maintain a startup/shutdown/malfunction plan?	Yes INO			
7. Maintain deviation reports?	Yes No N/A			
a) Problem corrected?	- 🗌 Yes 🗌 No 🖾 N/A			
8. Maintain a compliance plan, if applicable?	Yes No N/A			

PART VI: <u>LEAK DETECTION AND REPAIRS</u> – Rule 62-213.300 FAC

1. Does the responsible official conduct a weekly (for small sources, bi-weekly) leak

(check ☑ only one box for each question)

detection and repair inspection?	Xes No
2. Does the facility maintain a leak log?	Xes No
b) Door gaskets and seating Xes No N/A c) Filter gaskets and seating Yes No N/A	g) Muck cookers □Yes □No ⊠N/A h) Stills ⊠Yes □No □N/A i) Exhaust dampers □Yes □No □N/A j) Diverter valves □Yes □No ⊠N/A
4. Which method(s) of detection (is/are) used by the responsib	ble official?
 a) Visual examination (condensed solvent on exterior surf b) Physical detection (airflow felt through gaskets) c) Odor (noticeable perc odor)	b) metric tubes) d) **(see below) e) metric tubes) metric tubes) e) metric tubes) e) metric tubes) e) metric tubes) e) Yes No e e e e e e e e e e e e
Shea Jackson	11/13/2007
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection
	2008
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS:

• During the inspection of the facility, I met with the facility contact Robert Vinson, and the responsible official; Mr. Michael Bassous.

• I observed the calendar record logs, for 2006 and 2007. The record showed the ranges of the dry to dry to be maintaining a temperature range of -1 thru $-4^{\circ}C^{\circ}$ during dryer cool downs. The weekly leak checks had been performed and were up to date; the most recent entry was 9/11/2007.

• The calendars showed there had not been any purchase of Perc in 2006. Mr. Vinson stated he would not be purchasing Perc until 2008, and we found in calendar was 79 gallons.

• The most recent Hazardous waste manifest for the disposal of the Perchloroethylene and was 2 - 15 gallons container on 10/28/2007. I observed the Hazardous waste drum from the dry to dry equipment was sitting in the secondary containment.

• The facility had purchased the Halogen Detector Tek Mate. The operator, Robert Vinson will be learning how to use it. The owner, Mr. Bassous installed two batteries in it for use.

• Mr. Vinson stated that they had purchased the new boiler, because the old one broke down. I observed the new 50 HP Hurst boiler. The stack for the boiler was at the north end of the unit, and ducted outside to the roof top. I inquired as to the odor they had complained about during my previous inspection. Mr. Bassous, stated they had to raise the boiler stack because the CO emissions were venting back into the building. (See Photo). Mr. Bassous stated he was having the stack raised up to 8 feet above the roofline to reduce the odors coming into the facility. He is on waiting list to have work done. They contacted the company that installed and were booked up, so they had contacted another contractor. I spoke to Tim, who did not give last name, and he stated he was going to raise the stack for the facility. I informed him that some times the type of rain cap on a boiler can cause issue when it redirects the fumes back down toward the ground.

• I informed Mr. Bassous of the citizen complaint on his facility CC# 63449. I gave him a list of what the citizen's had informed me along with an odor complaint on his property. The list included, shed too close to fence (not proper setback), debris and rats on fence line, RV parked too close to fence, drainage from facility roof draining onto the adjacent property to the south, at 2329 Belmar Drive (Mr. John Tsoulfas 409-5126). Could be improperly using the property, as they thought it to be factory, and is

only zoned as a commercial land use. Smoke from stack, is actually the (Steam vent), was not coming from the main boiler stack. The boiler stack was in the tree top. Exhaust wall fans venting down hot air onto the adjacent south property. The noise from the boiler operation starts early 6am. The lentil was cut through to install the stack, and was not high enough off the roof line.

• He stated the facility operations shut down at 2PM. The boiler is turned off. He stated he would look into raising the steam vent to above the roof line also. He stated the RV was cited by the Sheriff and the owner, Jim Scott went and had it taken care of, was considered grandfather in, and was not a violation? He stated they would clean up the pipes and debris along the back side of the fence. He stated he did not think the drainage was going onto the south property; it was going from west to east along the property line. He did not respond to the possible shed being not in proper setback. I advised him to do what he could regarding complaints from the good neighbor stand point, as I feel some of the issues are not codes violations, but I will have to refer to the codes division the citizens concerns, the ones that could be code violations.

• I informed Mr. Vinson; the facility appeared to be in compliance at this time regarding the dry cleaning operation. I will refer the citizen's complaints to the City of Bellair Code enforcement, Robert at 727-581-6808.

• I informed Mr. Vinson; the facility appeared to be in compliance at this time. Mr. Bassous did not return to the facility to sign the annual certification, so I asked Robert to have him sign the form and mail it back to our office.

• I informed Mr. Bassous the facility inspection, and source dry to dry equipment appears to be in compliance at this time.

• I called Mr. Jim Scott at 560-5354. There was has the RV stored there. He wasn't cited by sheriff dept and has never been cited for its storage there, as Mr. Bassous had suggested during the inspection of the facility.

• I called the city of Bellair Bluffs Codes division - Robert David at 581-6808 and left a message to contact me in regards to the citizen complaint. Their building department had no record of a permit request for the installation of the boiler.